

The Role of Continuous Training in Improving Employees' Job Performance: An Empirical Study

Dr. Chafai Amel¹

¹Ahmed Draia University of Adrar, Algeria.

E-mail: ame.chafai@univ-adrar.edu.dz

Received: 23.03.2026

Accepted: 15.07.2026

Publishing: 27.09.2026

Abstract:

This study aims to highlight the role of continuous training in improving employees' job performance within the organization, proceeding from the premise that human capital constitutes one of the most critical determinants of organizational effectiveness and adaptability to professional and organizational transformations. The study examines continuous training as a systematic process aimed at updating employees' knowledge, enhancing their skills, and developing their competencies, thereby achieving greater alignment between their capabilities and their job requirements. The findings indicate that continuous training serves as a significant factor in advancing professional competency and enhancing job performance. This is manifested in the alignment of training programs with job requirements, as well as the acquisition of actionable knowledge and skills applicable to professional practice. Furthermore, the findings underscore the necessity of aligning training programs with the actual training needs of employees and the specific nature of their roles to ensure training effectiveness and maximize its impact on overall performance.

Keywords: Continuous Training, Job Performance, Human Resources, Professional Competency.

Introduction:

Training constitutes one of the fundamental pillars upon which modern organizations rely to enhance their performance and ensure organizational sustainability within an environment characterized by rapid change and increasing complexity. In light of the socioeconomic transformations witnessed by contemporary societies, job performance is no longer exclusively contingent upon technical competence or individual skill; rather, it has become the product of a complex interaction among the individual, the formal structure, and the organizational culture.

Within this framework, training emerges as a social and organizational process aimed at reshaping individual behavior within the organization. This is achieved through the transmission of knowledge, skills, and professional values that ensure effective integration into the work environment via permanent and continuous updating guided by defined operational plans. Such plans are implemented through a clearly delineated training policy whose objectives align harmoniously with the organization's overarching strategy.

Accordingly, continuous training represents a dynamic and ongoing process designed to develop employees' knowledge, skills, and capabilities throughout their career trajectories,

thereby enabling them to adapt constructively to organizational and technological transformations.

Proceeding from the aforementioned considerations, this study examines the role of continuous training in improving employees' job performance by addressing the following research problem:

Does continuous training contribute to improving the job performance of employees within the Electricity and Gas Distribution Directorate in Adrar?

Study Hypothesis:

Continuous training plays an essential role in improving employee performance within the Electricity and Gas Distribution Directorate in Adrar.

1. Significance of the Study:

- Demonstrating the significance of continuous training and its role in enhancing employee performance.
- Establishing continuous training as one of the most critical functions of Human Resource Management, which operates to enhance and optimize employee performance efficiency by fostering their capabilities, refining their skills, and directing their organizational behavior.
- Highlighting the contribution of continuous training to the attainment of organizational objectives with cost efficiency and temporal optimization.

2. Objectives of the Study:

- Analyzing the relationship between continuous training and employee job performance.
- Identifying the conceptual and operational nature of continuous training within the organization.
- Highlighting the role and significance of continuous training with respect to both the organization and its employees.

3. The Concept of Continuous Training

3.1 Definition of Continuous Training

It refers to targeted efforts designed to equip the employee with the information and knowledge necessary to acquire job performance skills, or to develop and upgrade existing expertise, skills, and knowledge, thereby enhancing competence in executing current duties or assuming higher-level responsibilities in the future. (Hashem, 1989, p. 255).

It is also defined as an organized act aimed at initiating a constructive preparatory process of varying degrees within the functions of the individual. In this sense, training is intrinsically linked to modes of cognition, perception, affect, and behavior. (Bouabdallah, 1993, p. 302)

Accordingly, continuous training represents the totality of training processes oriented toward developing employees' knowledge and skills throughout their professional trajectories to adapt to professional, technological, and organizational changes. It is distinguished from initial training by the following characteristics:

- It is a permanent, uninterrupted process.
- It is directly linked to the actual job role.
- It is oriented toward adaptation to change.

3.2 Objectives of Continuous Training

Continuous training serves as an effective instrument for achieving organizational and managerial development for both the institution and its human resources, while functioning as a primary mechanism for addressing organizational and individual challenges.

3.2.1 For the Organization:

Continuous training realizes several key objectives, foremost among which are:

- **Increasing Productivity:** Enhanced individual skill directly manifests in the volume and quality of output.
- **Reducing Occupational Accidents:** Accidents frequently arise from human error rather than mechanical failures, equipment defects, or environmental workplace conditions. Consequently, high-quality training on operational methodologies and procedural execution directly leads to a decrease in the incidence and frequency of accidents.
- **Ensuring Organizational Continuity and Stability:** Organizational stability refers to the organization's capacity to maintain operational effectiveness despite the departure of key managerial personnel. Organizational flexibility, on the other hand, denotes the ability to adapt to fluctuations in workload, which necessitates the availability of multi-skilled personnel capable of transitioning into roles where demand is critical. (Hanafi, 2002, pp. 146-147)

3.2.2 For Human Resources:

- Facilitates sound decision-making and enhances analytical competence and problem-solving capacities within the work environment.
- Assists individuals in overcoming states of anxiety, occupational stress, alienation, and intra-organizational conflict.
- Provides employees with updated methodologies to enhance leadership skills, communication channels, and positive professional orientations.
- Creates viable pathways for professional promotion and career advancement.
- Minimizes operational errors and occupational hazards.
- Enables the acquisition of advanced competencies in functional tasks, discourse, and active listening.
- Deepens the sense of job satisfaction and personal accomplishment.
- Decreases turnover rates by fostering career stability and continuity, thereby increasing organizational commitment and institutional loyalty. (Salem & Saleh, 2002, p. 132)
- Elevates employee morale through exposure to diverse professional experiences that open prospective avenues for future career development.

4. The Concept of Job Performance

4.1 Definition of Job Performance

Performance refers to the degree of fulfillment and completion of tasks that constitute a job role. It acts as a mirror reflecting how an individual satisfies occupational requirements. At times, conceptual overlap occurs between performance and effort: effort relates to energy expended or individual capacities, whereas performance is evaluated on the basis of attained results. Performance is defined as:

"The degree to which an individual or organization attains planned objectives with efficiency and effectiveness." (Mustafa, 2002, pp. 215-217)

"The net effect of an individual's efforts, originating with abilities and role or task perception. In a given situation, this performance must be viewed as the outcome of the interrelated dynamics among effort, abilities, and role and task perception." (Muhammad, 2000, p. 210)

Based on the foregoing, job performance denotes the level to which an individual realizes targeted goals in accordance with standards of quality and operational effectiveness.

4.2 Objectives of Job Performance

Job performance constitutes a foundational concept in administrative science and human resource management, as it mirrors the capacity of employees to achieve organizational goals with efficiency and effectiveness. Job performance is not confined to the mere execution of assigned duties; rather, it encompasses the quality of execution, adherence to organizational standards, and substantive contribution to the strategic objectives of the institution. Consequently, job performance aims to fulfill a system of complementary objectives serving both the individual and the enterprise alike:

Achieving organizational objectives by ensuring that operational tasks and activities are executed in conformity with established plans and institutional policies. As employee performance rises, the organization's capacity to deliver its mission, attain strategic goals, enhance competitiveness, and respond dynamically to external environmental demands correspondingly increases.

Increasing productivity and advancing operational efficiency: The optimal utilization of human and material resources leads to minimizing waste in time, effort, and financial costs, while securing the best achievable outcomes through resource optimization. This is fundamentally linked to the employee's ability to leverage knowledge and skills to execute duties with high competence and standard of quality.

Enhancing the quality of organizational services and products: Higher levels of performance are directly reflected in output quality, thereby elevating beneficiary or customer satisfaction and reinforcing institutional prestige and positioning within its operating environment.

Providing an essential instrument for human resource appraisal: Organizations rely on performance appraisal metrics to make informed decisions regarding promotion, continuous training, incentives, task reallocation, and career path planning. Thus, performance is not an end in itself, but rather an empirical foundation for objective administrative decision-making.

Fostering employee motivation and human capability development: Performance evaluation identifies core organizational strengths that warrant reinforcement, as well as operational deficiencies that necessitate remedial training or skill qualification initiatives.

Strengthening organizational commitment and belonging: An employee who attains superior performance levels frequently develops a heightened awareness of the value of their institutional role, reinforcing alignment with organizational values and strategic vision. Furthermore, organizations that implement equitable performance appraisal and compensation frameworks successfully foster trust between management and employees, reflecting positively on the broader organizational climate.

5. The Role of Training in Improving Employee Job Performance

Continuous training represents one of the primary mechanisms upon which modern institutions rely to enhance employee job performance, as it enables the development of knowledge and skills in alignment with workplace transformations. Technological advancement, the emergence of modern organizational and managerial paradigms, and shifting institutional needs place the employee in continuous demand of learning and acquiring new competencies. Consequently, continuous training is no longer a secondary activity or an administrative measure invoked merely upon necessity; rather, it has become a strategic investment in human resources as the most critical determinant of organizational success and sustainability.

Training exerts multifaceted effects on employee performance, the most salient of which include:

- Developing professional knowledge and skills: An employee who undergoes continuous training becomes more capable of comprehending the nature of assigned duties, utilizing modern tools and technologies, and effectively resolving operational issues encountered during task execution.
- Elevating and refining performance levels in both quantitative and qualitative terms.
- Strengthening interpersonal human relations among personnel and developing constructive professional attitudes.
- Increasing motivation and organizational commitment: When employees perceive that the institution prioritizes their development and invests in enhancing their capabilities, their sense of appreciation and belonging deepens, thereby increasing their readiness to expend effort toward achieving institutional objectives. (Ibrahim, 2006, p. 31)
- Consolidating relations between management and organizational staff.
- Reducing rates of both absenteeism and employee turnover.
- Enhancing the employee's adaptability to change: Contemporary organizations operate in an environment subject to continuous evolution—whether through the deployment of novel technologies, the adoption of modern information systems, or the modification of operational workflows. Under such conditions, an employee may face difficulties keeping pace with these changes without appropriate training that reinforces the capacity to execute new tasks.
- Fostering organizational integration and constructive interaction among personnel across the institution.

6. The Empirical Framework

6.1 Research Methodology

The descriptive-analytical approach was employed, which is predicated on identifying the characteristics and dimensions of the phenomenon within a specific context, analyzing it on the basis of collected empirical data, and identifying its determinants and governing factors to achieve generalizable findings.

The descriptive method examines the phenomenon as it exists in empirical reality, providing a rigorous depiction through both qualitative and quantitative expressions.

The questionnaire technique was utilized to gather data and empirical evidence from sample respondents who completed the survey instruments, followed by both quantitative and qualitative analyses of the obtained data.

6.2 Study Population and Sample

The study population comprised 100 employees belonging to various socio-professional categories within the organization, including managerial staff, supervisory personnel, and operational execution staff. Given the manageable size of the target population, a comprehensive census survey method was adopted. A total of 100 questionnaires were administered to all members of the population; 87 completed questionnaires were retrieved. The demographic and professional characteristics of the sample respondents are detailed in the following table:

Table 01: Characteristics of the Study Sample.

Professional Category	Frequency	Percentage
Managerial Staff / Executives	47	54.02%
Supervisory Staff	30	34.48%
Operational / Execution Staff	10	11.49%
Total	87	100%

Table 01 illustrates the socio-professional categories of the study sample, where the highest proportion is recorded among managerial staff and executives at 54.02%, followed by supervisory staff at 34.48%, and operational execution staff at 11.49%.

6.3 Presentation and Analysis of Results

The findings of the empirical study demonstrate that continuous training constitutes a fundamental pillar in developing human resources and improving job performance. Its impact is not limited to advancing the professional competencies of employees, but extends to reinforcing organizational effectiveness and enhancing the institution's capability to confront the challenges imposed by the socioeconomic environment. Furthermore, the findings indicate that investment in human capital through continuous training represents one of the most critical determinants underpinning competitive advantage and achieving organizational efficiency. In light of this, this section presents the empirical findings through the following descriptive and analytical statistical examination:

Table 02: Alignment of Training with Current Job Role by Professional Category.

Professional Category	Managerial Staff	Supervisory Staff	Operational Staff	Total
-----------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------

Training Alignment	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Relevant	30	%63.83	21	70%	8	80%	59	67.82%
Not Relevant	17	36.17%	9	30%	2	20%	28	32.18%
Total	47	100%	30	100%	10	100%	87	100%

Table 02 illustrates the position of sample respondents regarding the alignment of training received within the institution with their current job roles, where 67.82% consider the training relevant. This view is prominently supported by 80.00% of operational execution staff, followed by 70.00% of supervisory staff, and 63.83% of managerial staff. Conversely, 32.18% of respondents consider the completed training unaligned with their current functional roles, a perspective held by 36.17% of managerial staff, followed by 30.00% of supervisory staff, and 20.00% of operational execution staff.

The statistical analysis of the table reveals a relatively positive evaluation of the organization's training policy, with more than two-thirds of the workforce affirming that training programs contributed to equipping them with the knowledge and skills essential for task execution. Operational execution staff emerge as the category affirming training alignment most strongly. This result may be interpreted by the fact that internal training initiatives are predominantly oriented toward operational and technical skills directly linked to execution duties—roles that require standard operating procedures and applied techniques where the impact of training manifests immediately. Consequently, individuals within this category demonstrate a higher perception of the practical utility of training in enhancing daily performance.

Regarding supervisory staff, the hybrid nature of their responsibilities—combining technical execution with managerial oversight—enables them to benefit from training, albeit to a lesser degree than operational staff. Their performance relies not solely on technical competency, but equally on coordination, operational follow-up, and decision-making capabilities, which may not be comprehensively covered across standard training curricula. In contrast, managerial staff express the highest rate of perceived training irrelevance. This discrepancy can be explained by the complex nature of executive functions, which demand advanced analytical, administrative, and strategic competencies, whereas institutional training programs often place greater emphasis on operational or technical dimensions. As a result, certain managerial personnel perceive these programs as insufficient to meet the substantive requirements of their roles or their professional career ambitions.

Accordingly, it can be concluded that while the institution has achieved substantial alignment between training programs and job requirements for the majority of personnel, the presence of nearly one-third of the sample reporting a lack of alignment underscores the imperative to review existing training frameworks. This necessitates adopting systematic, periodic training needs assessments for each socio-professional category to render future programs more specialized and responsive to specific functional demands.

Table 03: Distribution of Participation in Training Sessions.

Professional Category Number of Sessions	Managerial Staff		Supervisory Staff		Operational Staff		Total	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Did not participate	2	14.25%	3	10%	2	20%	7	8.04%
1 to 2	16	34.04%	14	46.66%	4	40%	34	39.08%
3 to 5	18	38.29%	7	23.33%	3	30%	28	32.18%
More than 6 sessions	11	23.40%	6	20%	1	10%	18	20.68%
Total	47	100%	30	100%	10	100%	87	100%

It is observed from Table 03 that the prevailing trend is represented by 39.08% of the sample respondents who reported participating in 1 to 2 training sessions. This cohort is primarily driven by supervisory staff at 46.66%, followed by operational execution staff at 40.00%, and managerial staff at 34.04%. Meanwhile, 32.18% of the respondents reported participating in 3 to 5 training sessions, led by managerial staff at 38.29%, operational execution staff at 30.00%, and supervisory staff at 23.33%. Respondents who engaged in more than 6 training sessions accounted for 20.68%, supported by managerial staff at 23.40%, supervisory staff at 20.00%, and operational execution staff at 10.00%. Conversely, a marginal 8.04% of the sample had never participated in any training session, comprising 20.00% of operational execution staff and 10.00% of supervisory staff.

The statistical analysis of the table reveals that the majority of sample respondents have engaged in at least one or two training sessions. This indicates that most employees have acquired a baseline level of continuous professional development throughout their career trajectories, underscoring the organization's orientation toward generalizing foundational training across the various socio-professional categories. This trend is particularly evident among supervisory staff, as this category functions as a critical nexus between executive leadership and operational execution; they oversee operational workflows and coordinate administrative directives with field-level execution. Such responsibilities necessitate contemporary technical and managerial knowledge. Therefore, engaging this segment in one

or two training cycles reflects institutional efforts to guarantee the requisite baseline competency needed to execute supervisory and monitoring roles effectively.

In contrast, a substantial proportion of employees completed 3 to 5 sessions, with managerial staff exhibiting the highest representation. This finding can be attributed to the nature of their administrative and managerial mandates, which require continuous knowledge updating—particularly in strategic planning, administrative decision-making, governance, and emerging technologies—thereby compelling the organization to enroll them recurrently in specialized training sessions. Furthermore, the cohort participating in more than 6 training sessions was also dominated by managerial staff. This demonstrates an institutional propensity toward heavier investment in executive training, given that managerial personnel occupy leadership positions where decisions directly impact overall organizational performance. The multiplicity of sessions attended by this category also aligns with the operational imperative to keep pace with rapid organizational and technological advancements. Finally, the small proportion of respondents who have not participated in any training initiatives is attributable to several institutional variables, including recent tenure or the absence of tailored programs for specific roles.

Accordingly, it can be concluded that the organization adopts a training policy encompassing all socio-professional categories; however, the frequency of participation varies markedly according to functional hierarchical status, serving to optimize job performance while enhancing practical capabilities and professional proficiencies.

Table 04: Distribution of Types of Training.

Type of Training	Frequency	Percentage
Internal	23	26.43%
External	37	42.52%
Both	27	31.03%
Total	87	100%

It is observed from Table 04 that 42.52% of the sample respondents received external training outside the organization, while 31.03% received both internal and external training. Finally, 26.43% of the respondents received internal training exclusively.

The statistical analysis of the table indicates that the organization relies to a greater extent on external training compared to internal training. This reflects a strategic orientation toward leveraging specialized expertise and external knowledge assets available across vocational training institutes, specialized entities, or partner organizations. This finding may be regarded as an indicator of organizational awareness regarding the importance of engaging with the broader professional and scientific environment to modernize employee competencies and keep pace with evolving technical and organizational developments. Meanwhile, the cohort that received both internal and external training reflects a more integrative training policy. Combining both modalities allows the institution to harness the distinct advantages of each: internal training provides granular knowledge of institutional specificities, workflows, and organizational procedures, whereas external training equips

personnel with broader industry-wide expertise and contemporary methodologies. Consequently, employees exposed to both internal and external modalities are expected to demonstrate a superior capacity to bridge theoretical knowledge with applied practice within the organization. Conversely, the segment restricted to internal training corresponds to specific functional roles whose requirements are strictly confined to internal operating procedures and organizational protocols.

Accordingly, it can be concluded that the organization adopts a diversified training strategy integrating both internal and external training schemes. This dual approach affords employees expansive opportunities to acquire cutting-edge knowledge and professional expertise, which reflects positively on their job performance through enhanced professional competency, modernized skill sets, and an elevated capacity to adapt to the demands of contemporary work environments.

Table 05: Distribution of Skills Acquired from Training.

Professional Category Acquired Skills	Managerial Staff		Supervisory Staff		Operational Staff		Total	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Acquiring new knowledge and skills	20	42.66%	7	23.33%	5	50%	32	36.79%
Executing work with precision and mastery	16	34.04%	18	60%	3	30%	37	42.53%
Work discipline	11	23.40%	5	16.67%	2	20%	18	20.68%
Total	47	100%	30	100%	10	100%	87	100%

It is observed from Table 05 that 42.53% of the sample respondents perceive the primary skill acquired from training to be executing work with precision and mastery. This dimension is predominantly supported by supervisory staff at 60.00%, followed by managerial staff at 34.04%. Meanwhile, 36.79% of the respondents consider the primary benefit of training to be the acquisition of new knowledge and skills, a perspective led by operational execution staff at 50.00%, followed by managerial staff at 42.66%. Finally, 20.68% of the sample report that work discipline constitutes the primary outcome of training, supported by 23.40% of managerial staff, 20.00% of operational execution staff, and 16.67% of supervisory staff.

The foregoing analysis indicates that the foremost competency acquired through training is executing work with precision and mastery, particularly among supervisory staff. This finding corresponds directly to their structural positioning within the organizational hierarchy, as they occupy an intermediary liaison role between managerial leadership and

operational execution staff. Their responsibilities inherently involve workflow monitoring, direct supervision, procedural coordination, and quality assurance in operational delivery. As for managerial staff, although they registered a relatively lower percentage in this category, this does not indicate diminished utility; rather, it reflects a qualitative divergence in their functional needs. Managerial roles extend beyond the strict operational execution of tasks to encompass strategic planning, decision-making, administrative coordination, and resource allocation. Consequently, the impact of training for managerial personnel is inherently aligned with managerial and organizational competencies rather than operational precision alone.

Furthermore, a significant segment of the sample identified the acquisition of new knowledge and skills as their primary training outcome, most notably among operational execution staff. This dynamic enables operational personnel to renew their cognitive and practical capabilities with proficiencies not previously possessed to the same extent, facilitating alignment with emerging technical developments and modern operational procedures. Similarly, managerial personnel require continuous knowledge updating to remain abreast of modern management paradigms, organizational innovations, and technological advancements relevant to their operational domain.

Finally, a notable proportion of respondents identified work discipline as the primary benefit of training, particularly within the managerial category. This attribute diverges from the preceding two dimensions in that it pertains not to technical execution, but to professional conduct and organizational citizenship behavior. This highlights the role of training as a transformative process that extends beyond the transfer of technical expertise to reinforce professional norms and institutional standards, including time management, adherence to operating procedures, accountability, and compliance with organizational regulations.

Accordingly, it can be concluded that continuous training actively enhances employee job performance through increasing operational precision and mastery, instilling novel knowledge and proficiencies, and consolidating professional discipline. The findings substantiate that the developmental impact of training varies across socio-professional categories, underscoring that training effectiveness depends fundamentally upon its programmatic alignment with functional roles and the specific occupational demands of each employee segment.

6.4 Discussion of Results

These empirical findings demonstrate the existence of a positive relationship between continuous training and both the development of employees' professional competencies and the enhancement of their task execution capacities. This relationship is substantiated not merely through an isolated metric, but through an integrated corpus of findings that elucidates the multifaceted dimensions of training within the enterprise.

The findings concerning the degree of training relevance to current functional roles across socio-professional categories indicate that 67.82% of sample respondents consider the training received aligned with their current positions, compared to 32.18% who deem it unaligned. This establishes that the majority of employees perceive congruence between instructional curricula and actual job demands. Nevertheless, the distribution of these

outcomes across socio-professional strata reveals divergent perceptions: operational execution staff most strongly endorsed programmatic alignment, whereas the highest incidence of perceived divergence emerged among managerial staff. This divergence mirrors disparate training needs contingent upon hierarchical placement and operational responsibilities. Given their strategic mandates, managerial personnel require advanced, specialized training focused on executive management, organizational design, and strategic decision-making. This finding corroborates the premise that training efficacy is not merely contingent upon program availability, but upon the degree to which instructional content corresponds to empirical job requirements. As alignment with operational reality increases, the capacity to translate acquired knowledge and skills into applied professional practice is systematically maximized.

Regarding the frequency of training session attendance, the data indicate that 39.08% of respondents participated in one to two sessions, 32.18% completed between three and five sessions, 20.68% attended more than six sessions, and 8.04% participated in no training initiatives. These metrics demonstrate that training represents an established, institutionalized practice across the professional careers of most employees, although participation intensity varies across socio-professional strata. Supervisory personnel recorded a 46.66% participation rate within the one-to-two-session interval, compared to 40.00% for operational execution staff and 34.04% for managerial staff. Furthermore, managerial personnel registered the highest participation rates across advanced intervals, with 38.29% attending three to five sessions and 23.40% completing more than six sessions. These empirical outcomes substantiate varying degrees of training exposure across hierarchical levels, which is attributable to the differentiation of functional roles, managerial mandates, and professional requirements.

Regarding the modalities of training delivery, the organization relies upon external training at 42.52%, while 31.03% of respondents engaged in both internal and external training, and 26.43% received internal training exclusively. The prominence of external training signifies a strategic commitment to leveraging external specialized knowledge and institutional expertise, functioning as a vital conduit for outward organizational engagement and the inward transfer of advanced competencies. Simultaneously, the substantial proportion exposed to dual modalities underscores the operational synergy achieved by bridging internal training—focused on firm-specific operational cultures and protocols—with external programs that afford broader exposure to contemporary industry best practices.

The analytical significance of these findings is reinforced when evaluating specific acquired competencies. Executing work with precision and mastery ranked highest at 42.53%, followed by the acquisition of novel knowledge and skills at 36.79%, and professional discipline at 20.68%. These findings indicate that the perceived organizational impact of training encompasses multiple dimensions: it enhances direct task performance via elevated precision and quality, replenishes employees' cognitive and procedural skill repositories, and extends to organizational citizenship behavior through the reinforcement of workplace discipline.

Socio-professional disparities emerge with clarity across these dimensions. While 60.00% of supervisory staff, 34.04% of managerial staff, and 30.00% of operational execution staff associated training with precision and operational mastery, operational execution staff predominantly viewed training as a mechanism for acquiring novel technical skills necessary to navigate shifting task requirements. Conversely, 23.40% of managerial staff identified professional discipline as a primary competency gained from training, reflecting the critical importance of behavioral, organizational, and regulatory compliance in positions tasked with administrative stewardship, coordination, and executive decision-making.

Continuous training serves as a primary vehicle for professional and organizational socialization. Through this process, employees acquire not only technical capabilities, but also internalize the normative frameworks, organizational values, and operational rules governing the enterprise, thereby establishing person-job fit and role congruence.

Consequently, continuous training fulfills an indispensable role in developing human resources within the organization. The findings substantiate its measurable contribution toward imparting advanced proficiencies, enhancing execution precision and mastery, reinforcing positive organizational behaviors, and establishing substantial alignment between training interventions and functional demands. Crucially, the differentiation of training impacts across socio-professional tiers reinforces the necessity of tailoring professional development initiatives to the concrete occupational realities and specific functional needs of each employee tier, rather than implementing standardized curricula indiscriminately across the enterprise.

Conclusion:

It is evident from the foregoing that continuous training represents one of the foundational pillars for human resource development, given its pivotal role in updating employees' knowledge, enhancing their operational skills, and elevating their adaptability to dynamic job demands. The empirical findings demonstrated that the vast majority of sample respondents benefited from training programs to varying degrees, and that training substantively contributed to increasing task precision and mastery, acquiring new knowledge and proficiencies, and consolidating professional discipline.

Furthermore, the findings revealed a substantial degree of alignment between training programs and current job roles, with a marked majority affirming this congruence despite notable perceptual disparities across socio-professional strata. This outcome reinforces the necessity of systematically aligning training programs with the functional nature of job roles and the empirical needs of employees, rather than relying on standardized curricula that overlook hierarchical distinctions and specialized professional responsibilities.

Continuous training transcends a purely technical function; it actively fosters professional competency and reinforces organizational integration by equipping the employee with the cognitive assets, practical capabilities, and normative standards indispensable to the execution of their professional role.

Accordingly, the study's findings substantiate the positive role of continuous training in advancing professional competency and enhancing job performance. Concurrently, they highlight the strategic imperative of transitioning from the mere provision of sporadic training courses to the formulation of a comprehensive training policy. Such a policy must be grounded in empirical training needs assessments, program-job alignment, consideration of socio-professional variations, and systematic post-training evaluation of operational impact. Through these measures, continuous training is transformed into a strategic investment in human capital rather than a peripheral activity administered routinely by the enterprise.

Proposed Recommendations:

- ✓ Institutionalizing continuous training as a core strategic policy for human resource development and the advancement of employees' professional competencies.
- ✓ Conducting periodic training needs assessments and directly linking instructional programs to organizational objectives, job descriptions, and actual workplace demands, while accounting for distinctions across socio-professional categories.
- ✓ Diversifying training delivery models and sources across internal and external modalities, capitalizing on the distinct advantages of each in accordance with specific instructional requirements.
- ✓ Strengthening the applied, practical orientation of training curricula to facilitate the effective transfer of acquired knowledge and skills into concrete workplace practices.
- ✓ Evaluating training programs systematically through participant feedback and diagnostic assessments to identify institutional strengths and deficiencies, thereby informing the optimization of future training initiatives.

References

- Bouabdallah, L. (1993). Evaluation of the training process at the university. Batna: Educational Reform Association.
- Hanafi, A. G. (2002). Organizational behavior and human resource management. Egypt: New University Publishing House.
- Hashem, Z. M. (1989). Human resource management. Kuwait: Kuwait University.
- Ibrahim, B. M. (2006). The impact of training on developing employees' skills. Egypt: Sadat Academy for Administrative Sciences.
- Muhammad, R. H. (2000). Human resource management: A future perspective. Egypt: University Publishing House.
- Mustafa, A. S. (2002). Human resource management: Principles and skills. Egypt: Dar Al-Kutub.
- Salem, M. S., & Saleh, A. H. (2002). Human resource management: A strategic approach. Jordan: Modern Book World.