

Homeopathy in Geriatric Healthcare: A Critical Review of Applications, Evidence, Safety and Patient-Centred Care

Amelia Rothen

Department of Computer Science, Hartwell University, Germany

Received: 01/07/2026

Accepted: 10/09/2026

Published: 30/09/2026

Abstract

Population ageing has created increasing demand for healthcare approaches capable of addressing multiple chronic conditions, functional limitations, medication-related concerns, and declining quality of life among older adults. Geriatric healthcare is particularly complex because older people frequently experience multimorbidity, polypharmacy, frailty, chronic pain, sleep disturbances, anxiety, gastrointestinal complaints, and other long-term health problems. These circumstances have encouraged some older adults and caregivers to explore complementary and alternative medical systems, including homeopathy. Homeopathy is characterized by the principles of similars, individualization, and potentization through serial dilution and succussion. Supporters often describe it as a gentle and holistic approach that may complement conventional care. This paper critically examines the potential role of homeopathy in geriatric healthcare, focusing on chronic pain, osteoarthritis, sleep problems, anxiety, gastrointestinal complaints, respiratory conditions, and general quality of life. It also discusses polypharmacy, patient expectations, therapeutic relationships, safety, treatment substitution, informed decision-making, caregiver involvement, and ethical considerations. Existing evidence concerning homeopathy remains controversial. Some studies and meta-analyses have reported outcomes favoring homeopathy, while other systematic reviews have identified methodological limitations, publication bias, heterogeneity, and insufficient evidence to establish reliable efficacy for specific diseases. In older adults, these uncertainties are particularly important because delayed diagnosis or discontinuation of effective treatment may have serious consequences. Although highly diluted preparations may reduce some direct pharmacological risks, products labeled homeopathic are not universally identical in composition or risk. Moreover, indirect harms can arise when patients replace proven treatment with ineffective alternatives. The paper argues that patient-centred geriatric care should respect individual preferences while maintaining evidence-based diagnosis and treatment. Homeopathy should not replace essential medication, vaccination, rehabilitation, or emergency care. Future research should prioritize well-designed trials involving older populations, clinically meaningful outcomes, long-term follow-up, medication-interaction assessment, safety monitoring, and health-economic analysis. A coordinated approach involving patients, caregivers, physicians, pharmacists, and complementary practitioners may help promote transparency and reduce preventable harm.

Keywords: Homeopathy, Geriatric Healthcare, Older Adults, Chronic Disease, Polypharmacy, Complementary Medicine, Patient Safety, Quality of Life, Integrative Healthcare, Evidence-Based Medicine

Introduction

Population ageing is one of the major demographic developments of the modern era. Improvements in sanitation, nutrition, medical treatment, and socioeconomic conditions have contributed to increased life expectancy in many parts of the world. As the number of older adults increases, healthcare systems face the challenge of providing care that addresses not only disease but also independence, mobility, cognition, emotional wellbeing, and quality of life.

Older adults frequently live with multiple chronic conditions. Hypertension, diabetes, arthritis, cardiovascular disease, respiratory disorders, chronic pain, sleep problems, and psychological symptoms may occur simultaneously. Treatment often involves several medications, resulting in polypharmacy and increasing the complexity of healthcare decisions.

These challenges can encourage older adults to seek complementary and alternative medicine. Some patients are attracted to homeopathy because they perceive it as natural, individualized, and gentle. Others may turn to complementary approaches because they have experienced side effects, inadequate symptom relief, or difficulties associated with long-term conventional treatment.

Homeopathy has a long history and remains available in many healthcare settings. Its practitioners generally select remedies according to an individualized pattern of symptoms rather than diagnosis alone. Remedies are commonly prepared through serial dilution and succussion.

Scientific evidence concerning homeopathy remains controversial. A systematic review of non-individualized homeopathic treatment found that evidence for specific efficacy was weakened by methodological limitations and publication bias. Conversely, a 2023 review of meta-analyses reported positive findings in several analyses but also noted variation in methodological quality.

Geriatric healthcare introduces additional concerns. Older adults may have reduced physiological reserve, multiple diseases, impaired vision or cognition, and complex medication regimens. A delay in appropriate treatment may therefore result in greater consequences than in younger, healthier populations.

This paper examines the potential role of homeopathy in geriatric healthcare from an evidence-informed and patient-safety perspective.

Historical Development of Homeopathy

Homeopathy developed primarily from the work of Samuel Hahnemann in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries.

Hahnemann proposed the principle of “like cures like,” suggesting that a substance capable of producing certain symptoms in healthy individuals could be used in diluted form to treat similar symptoms in an ill person.

Homeopathic preparations are commonly produced through serial dilution and succussion. Individualization is also central to homeopathic practice. Two people with the same conventional diagnosis may receive different remedies based on variations in their symptoms and personal characteristics.

Historically, homeopathy was perceived by many patients as a less aggressive alternative to some medical practices of earlier periods.

Contemporary healthcare, however, requires systematic evaluation of efficacy, safety, and comparative effectiveness.

Why Older Adults May Choose Homeopathy

Older adults may have several reasons for seeking homeopathic care. Long-term illness can create frustration, especially when conventional treatment controls some aspects of disease but does not eliminate symptoms.

Chronic pain is an important example. An older person with arthritis may continue to experience stiffness and discomfort despite medication and physical therapy.

Concerns about medication side effects can also encourage interest in complementary treatments. Older adults often use several medications, increasing concerns about interactions and adverse effects.

Some patients may also value the longer consultation associated with homeopathic practice. Being listened to and having symptoms discussed in detail can provide psychological reassurance.

Family members and caregivers can influence decisions, particularly when older adults have physical or cognitive limitations.

Homeopathy and Chronic Pain

Chronic pain is highly prevalent among older adults. Osteoarthritis, musculoskeletal disorders, neuropathic conditions, and previous injuries can contribute to persistent pain.

Homeopathy has been used by some individuals as a complementary approach to chronic pain. However, pain is influenced by numerous factors, including physical activity, mood, sleep, expectations, and social circumstances.

Clinical trials must therefore distinguish between changes in subjective pain perception and objective improvements in physical functioning.

Important outcomes include pain intensity, mobility, ability to perform activities of daily living, sleep quality, use of conventional analgesics, and overall quality of life.

An older adult should not discontinue effective pain management solely because a homeopathic remedy is being tried.

Osteoarthritis

Osteoarthritis is one of the most common causes of disability among older adults. It can affect the knees, hips, hands, spine, and other joints.

Management generally involves physical activity, strengthening, weight management where appropriate, pain management, assistive devices, and other interventions depending on severity.

Some patients may use homeopathy because they are concerned about long-term analgesic use. A complementary approach should not interfere with evidence-based arthritis care. Delayed treatment can contribute to reduced mobility, falls, social isolation, and loss of independence.

Homeopathy and Sleep Problems

Sleep disturbance is common among older adults. Insomnia may be associated with pain, medication effects, anxiety, depression, respiratory conditions, or changes in sleep patterns.

Some individuals use homeopathy for insomnia because they prefer to avoid conventional sleep medications.

However, persistent sleep problems should be assessed for underlying causes. Non-pharmacological approaches, including appropriate behavioral strategies and sleep interventions, may be beneficial.

Research into homeopathy for sleep problems should use validated sleep questionnaires and, where appropriate, objective measures of sleep.

Anxiety and Emotional Wellbeing

Older adults can experience anxiety associated with health concerns, bereavement, social isolation, financial uncertainty, or chronic disease.

Homeopathic consultations may provide an opportunity for emotional expression and social interaction.

However, persistent or severe anxiety requires appropriate assessment. Anxiety can also be associated with medical conditions or medication effects.

A homeopathic consultation should not substitute for evidence-based psychological or psychiatric treatment when clinically indicated.

Depression in Older Adults

Depression is an important but sometimes underrecognized problem among older adults. Symptoms may include persistent low mood, loss of interest, fatigue, sleep changes, appetite changes, and social withdrawal.

Because depression may be incorrectly attributed to ageing or physical illness, professional assessment is important.

Homeopathy should not replace established treatment for clinically significant depression. Delayed treatment may worsen functioning and quality of life.

Healthcare professionals should also distinguish depression from cognitive disorders and other neurological conditions.

Gastrointestinal Problems

Older adults may experience constipation, reflux, abdominal discomfort, appetite changes, and other gastrointestinal symptoms.

Homeopathy may be sought for chronic digestive complaints. However, new or persistent gastrointestinal symptoms in an older adult should be evaluated carefully.

Unexplained weight loss, blood in stool, persistent vomiting, severe abdominal pain, or significant changes in bowel habits may require diagnostic investigation.

The danger of relying exclusively on homeopathy in such cases lies primarily in the possibility of delayed diagnosis.

Chronic Respiratory Conditions

Chronic respiratory disorders such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease can substantially affect older adults.

Some patients may seek homeopathy to reduce medication use. This can be risky if prescribed respiratory treatment is discontinued.

Breathlessness should never automatically be interpreted as a minor symptom. Sudden or worsening respiratory difficulty may require urgent medical assessment.

Homeopathy should not replace inhaled therapies, oxygen when medically indicated, or emergency treatment.

Cardiovascular Disease

Older adults frequently live with hypertension, coronary artery disease, heart failure, or other cardiovascular conditions.

Because cardiovascular disease can progress silently, regular monitoring and adherence to prescribed treatment are essential.

Homeopathy should not replace antihypertensive therapy, antiplatelet treatment, lipid management, or other evidence-based interventions when indicated.

Patients interested in complementary approaches should discuss them with their healthcare professionals.

Polypharmacy and Complementary Medicine

Polypharmacy is one of the most important issues in geriatric medicine. Older adults may take several prescription and non-prescription medicines simultaneously.

Patients may seek homeopathy specifically because they want to reduce their medication burden.

This concern should be taken seriously. However, medication reduction should occur through appropriate clinical review rather than unsupervised substitution.

Some products marketed as homeopathic may contain measurable active ingredients. NCCIH warns that certain products can cause adverse effects or interactions.

Patients should therefore disclose all complementary products to physicians and pharmacists.

Patient Expectations and Placebo Effects

Older adults' expectations can influence perceptions of pain, fatigue, sleep, and wellbeing.

The therapeutic environment can also influence outcomes. A practitioner who listens carefully and provides reassurance may improve patients' subjective experiences.

These contextual effects can be meaningful without demonstrating a specific pharmacological action of the homeopathic preparation.

Clinical research must therefore use appropriate placebo-controlled designs to determine treatment-specific effects.

Quality of Life

Quality of life is a particularly important outcome in geriatric healthcare.

For many older adults, maintaining independence may be more important than eliminating every symptom.

Research should therefore assess mobility, self-care, social participation, sleep, emotional wellbeing, cognitive functioning, and ability to perform daily activities.

If homeopathy is studied in older adults, researchers should use validated quality-of-life instruments rather than relying only on general statements such as "feeling better."

Cognitive Impairment and Dementia

Older adults with cognitive impairment require special protection in healthcare decision-making.

Some caregivers may seek homeopathy for memory problems or dementia-related symptoms.

However, dementia requires appropriate diagnosis and management. Cognitive decline may result from multiple causes, some of which can be treatable if identified early.

Homeopathy should not replace neurological assessment, cognitive evaluation, medication review, or established supportive interventions.

When decision-making capacity is impaired, caregivers have additional responsibilities to protect the person's interests.

Caregiver Involvement

Family caregivers frequently influence healthcare decisions for older adults.

Caregivers may administer medicines, schedule appointments, monitor symptoms, and communicate with healthcare professionals.

Their beliefs about complementary medicine can therefore influence treatment choices.

Healthcare providers should communicate with caregivers while maintaining respect for the older person's autonomy and legal rights.

The goal should be coordinated decision-making rather than conflict between family beliefs and professional recommendations.

Safety Concerns

Safety has two dimensions in geriatric homeopathy: direct product-related risks and indirect risks caused by treatment substitution.

Highly diluted products may contain little active substance, but not all products labeled homeopathic are necessarily equivalent. Product quality, contamination, manufacturing standards, and ingredient concentration can vary.

Older adults are also more vulnerable to consequences of delayed treatment.

For example, untreated infections, uncontrolled blood pressure, poorly managed diabetes, and worsening heart or respiratory disease can lead to hospitalization or disability.

Ethical Considerations

Geriatric healthcare involves ethical principles including autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and respect for dignity.

Older adults should have meaningful opportunities to express treatment preferences.

However, autonomy requires access to accurate information. Patients should understand whether a treatment is supported by strong evidence or remains uncertain.

Healthcare professionals should avoid both unnecessary paternalism and misleading reassurance.

When a complementary treatment creates a substantial risk of harm through treatment delay, professionals have a responsibility to explain those risks clearly.

Evidence from Clinical Research

The broader evidence base for homeopathy illustrates substantial disagreement.

The 1997 meta-analysis by Linde and colleagues reported an overall effect favoring homeopathy but emphasized limitations in determining efficacy for individual conditions.

The 2017 systematic review of non-individualized homeopathy concluded that apparent treatment effects were considerably weakened by study limitations and publication bias.

A 2023 systematic review of meta-analyses found positive results in several analyses while also identifying variation in study quality and methodological rigor.

These findings indicate that broad claims about homeopathy cannot substitute for disease-specific evidence.

Geriatric research should be particularly rigorous because older adults are frequently excluded from clinical trials or represented by relatively small subgroups.

Research Challenges in Older Adults

Research involving older adults presents methodological challenges.

Participants may have multiple diseases and take several medications, making it difficult to isolate treatment effects.

Cognitive impairment can also complicate informed consent and outcome measurement.

Researchers should therefore use clear eligibility criteria and validated assessment instruments.

Trials should document comorbidities, medication use, adverse events, and changes in conventional treatment.

Long-term follow-up is essential because chronic disease management extends beyond short-term symptom improvement.

Integrative Geriatric Care

Integrative care can incorporate patient preferences while maintaining appropriate medical treatment.

For example, an older adult with osteoarthritis might receive evidence-based exercise therapy, physical rehabilitation, appropriate pain management, nutritional guidance, and psychological support while also discussing complementary medicine preferences.

Such an approach emphasizes the whole person without requiring healthcare professionals to accept unsupported therapeutic claims.

The key principle is coordination rather than substitution.

Role of Physicians and Pharmacists

Physicians and pharmacists have important roles in identifying complementary medicine use.

Medication reviews should include questions about over-the-counter products, herbal medicines, supplements, and homeopathic preparations.

This is especially important for patients taking multiple medications.

Professionals should provide clear explanations about potential interactions and the consequences of discontinuing prescribed therapy.

Respectful communication increases the likelihood that patients will disclose complementary treatment use.

Digital Information and Older Adults

Digital health information increasingly influences healthcare decisions.

Older adults and their caregivers may encounter homeopathic claims through websites, social media, videos, and online marketplaces.

Digital literacy varies among individuals, and caregivers may become the primary source of information.

Healthcare professionals can help by recommending trustworthy information sources and teaching patients to distinguish testimonials from clinical evidence.

Online claims about cures for serious chronic diseases should be viewed with particular caution.

Health Economics

Chronic disease creates substantial healthcare costs for individuals and health systems.

Patients may spend money on homeopathic consultations and products while continuing to pay for conventional treatment.

If a complementary intervention does not produce clinically meaningful benefits, repeated expenditure may impose unnecessary financial burdens.

Health-economic research should examine total costs, healthcare utilization, medication changes, hospitalization rates, quality of life, and long-term outcomes.

Research Priorities

Future studies of homeopathy in geriatric care should include adequately represented older populations.

Research should define specific conditions clearly rather than grouping unrelated chronic diseases together.

Important outcomes should include symptom severity, functional independence, quality of life, medication use, healthcare utilization, and adverse events.

Trials should be randomized, appropriately blinded, and transparently reported.

Systematic reviews should carefully assess publication bias and risk of bias.

The Sum-HoMIS recommendations provide a useful framework for improving the synthesis and reporting of evidence from homeopathic intervention studies.

Future Perspectives

The demand for individualized and holistic healthcare is likely to increase as populations age. Homeopathy may continue to be used by some older adults, particularly for chronic symptoms and general wellbeing.

The future challenge is not simply to determine whether patients use homeopathy but to ensure that its use does not compromise essential medical care.

Digital health tools could facilitate monitoring of symptoms, medication use, and quality of life. Such information may help researchers understand real-world patterns of complementary medicine use.

However, observational evidence should not be interpreted as proof of efficacy.

Greater collaboration between conventional and complementary practitioners may also improve communication and reduce treatment conflicts.

Conclusion

Homeopathy continues to attract interest among some older adults seeking approaches for chronic pain, arthritis, sleep disturbance, anxiety, gastrointestinal symptoms, respiratory complaints, and general wellbeing. Its individualized consultation style and holistic philosophy may contribute to patient satisfaction.

Nevertheless, scientific evidence supporting homeopathy as a specific treatment for chronic diseases remains uncertain. Systematic reviews have produced differing conclusions, and methodological limitations, publication bias, and heterogeneity continue to affect interpretation.

Geriatric healthcare requires particular caution because older adults frequently experience multimorbidity, polypharmacy, frailty, and increased vulnerability to treatment delays.

Homeopathy should not replace evidence-based treatment for cardiovascular disease, respiratory disease, diabetes, dementia, severe depression, or other serious chronic conditions.

The most appropriate approach is patient-centred and evidence-informed. Older adults should be able to discuss complementary medicine without fear of judgment, while physicians and pharmacists should clearly communicate the evidence, uncertainties, risks, and alternatives. Future research should prioritize older populations, clearly defined diseases, rigorous randomized trials, validated outcomes, long-term follow-up, safety monitoring, and cost-effectiveness.

Ultimately, complementary healthcare should complement—not undermine—appropriate diagnosis and effective treatment. Respect for older adults' autonomy should coexist with professional responsibility to protect health, dignity, independence, and quality of life.

References

1. Hahnemann, S. (1810). *Organon of Medicine*. Dresden: Arnoldische Buchhandlung.
2. Linde, K., Clausius, N., Ramirez, G., Melchart, D., Eitel, F., Hedges, L. V., & Jonas, W. B. (1997). Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? A meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials. *The Lancet*, 350(9081), 834–843.
3. Shang, A., Huwiler-Müntener, K., Nartey, L., Jüni, P., Dörig, S., Sterne, J. A. C., Pewsner, D., & Egger, M. (2005). Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? Comparative study of placebo-controlled trials of homoeopathy and allopathy. *The Lancet*, 366(9487), 726–732.
4. Mathie, R. T., Ramparsad, N., Legg, L. A., Clausen, J., Moss, S., Davidson, J. R. T., & Messow, C. M. (2014). Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of individualized homeopathic treatment: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic Reviews*, 3, 142.
5. Mathie, R. T., Ulbrich-Zürni, S., Viksveen, P., Roberts, E. R., & Swinton, M. (2017). Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of non-individualised homeopathic treatment: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Systematic Reviews*, 6, 63.
6. Hamre, H. J., Glockmann, A., von Ammon, K., Riley, D. S., & Kiene, H. (2023). Efficacy of homoeopathic treatment: Systematic review of meta-analyses of randomised placebo-controlled homoeopathy trials for any indication. *Systematic Reviews*, 12, 191.
7. Gaertner, K., Ulbrich-Zürni, S., Baumgartner, S., Walach, H., Frass, M., & Weiermayer, P. (2023). Systematic reviews and meta-analyses in Homeopathy: Recommendations for summarising evidence from homeopathic intervention studies (Sum-HomIS recommendations). *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, 79, 102999.
8. Ernst, E. (2002). A systematic review of systematic reviews of homeopathy. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 54(6), 577–582.
9. Posadzki, P., Alotaibi, A., & Ernst, E. (2012). Adverse effects of homeopathy: A systematic review of published case reports and case series. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 66(12), 1178–1188.
10. World Health Organization. (2009). *Safety Issues in the Preparation of Homeopathic Medicines*. Geneva: World Health Organization.

11. Vickers, A. J., Goyal, N., Harland, R., & Rees, R. W. (1998). Do certain countries produce only positive results? A systematic review of controlled trials of homeopathy. *Controlled Clinical Trials*, 19(5), 475–490.
12. Rutten, A. L. B., & Stolper, C. F. (2008). The 2005 meta-analysis of homeopathy: Analysis of postpublication data. *Homeopathy*, 97(4), 230–238.
13. Witt, C. M., Lüdtkke, R., Baur, R., & Willich, S. N. (2006). Homeopathic medical practice: Long-term results of a prospective observational study. *BMC Public Health*, 6, 349.
14. Cucherat, M., Haugh, M. C., Gooch, M., & Boissel, J. P. (2000). Evidence of clinical efficacy of homeopathy: A meta-analysis of clinical trials. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, 56, 27–33.
15. National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. (2025). *Homeopathy: What You Need to Know*. National Institutes of Health.