

Field Study and Its Problematics in the Social Sciences: An Approach to the Most Significant Difficulties and Challenges

L'étude de terrain et ses problématiques en sciences sociales : Approche des difficultés et défis les plus significatifs

Dr. Benziane Kheira^{1*}

University of Mohamed ben Ahmed Oran 2, Algeria

Email: benziane.khaira@univ-oran2.dz

Received: 06/01/2026 Accepted: 15/07/2026 Published: 21/09/2026

Abstract:

This study examines field research as an essential epistemological and methodological component of qualitative research in the social sciences. It aims to identify its main foundations, requirements, methodological techniques, and the major difficulties encountered during fieldwork. The study adopts a descriptive-analytical approach to examine the theoretical and practical dimensions of field research, with particular emphasis on observation, participant observation, and interviewing. The findings show that effective fieldwork requires adequate theoretical preparation, careful planning, ethical commitment, cultural sensitivity, flexibility, and systematic documentation.

The study also highlights the importance of balancing active engagement with the research community and professional scientific detachment. It concludes that methodological adaptability and the appropriate use of multiple research techniques are essential for overcoming fieldwork difficulties and ensuring the credibility and reliability of qualitative research.

Keywords: Field Research; Qualitative Research; Descriptive-Analytical Approach; Methodology; Fieldwork Difficulties; Social Sciences.

Résumé:

Cette étude examine la recherche de terrain en tant que composante épistémologique et méthodologique essentielle de la recherche qualitative en sciences sociales. Elle vise à identifier ses principaux fondements, ses exigences et ses techniques méthodologiques, ainsi que les principales difficultés rencontrées par le chercheur au cours du travail de terrain. L'étude adopte une approche descriptive-analytique afin d'examiner les dimensions théoriques et pratiques de la recherche de terrain, en mettant particulièrement l'accent sur l'observation, l'observation participante et l'entretien. Les résultats montrent qu'un travail de terrain efficace nécessite une préparation théorique suffisante, une planification rigoureuse, le respect des principes éthiques, une sensibilité culturelle, de la flexibilité et une documentation systématique.

L'étude souligne également l'importance de maintenir un équilibre entre l'implication effective dans la communauté étudiée et la distance scientifique professionnelle. Elle conclut

que l'adaptation méthodologique et l'utilisation appropriée de plusieurs techniques de recherche sont essentielles pour surmonter les difficultés du travail de terrain et garantir la crédibilité et la fiabilité de la recherche qualitative.

Mots-clés : Recherche de terrain ; Recherche qualitative ; Approche descriptive-analytique ; Méthodologie ; Difficultés du travail de terrain ; Sciences sociales.

Introduction:

Field study represents a fundamental epistemological and methodological pillar in the social sciences, enabling researchers to investigate social phenomena directly within their natural cultural contexts. By employing qualitative techniques such as observation and interviewing, fieldwork bridges the gap between abstract theories and the dynamic realities of human behavior.

However, conducting research in the field is rarely straightforward, as scholars frequently encounter complex scientific, financial, and security challenges that can compromise research integrity. Consequently, this study explores the core techniques of qualitative research, analyzes the primary obstacles encountered during fieldwork, and examines the methodological and practical strategies required to overcome them while upholding strict scientific and ethical standards.

Significance of the Study:

This study is vital because it establishes the foundational methodological bridge between abstract theory and empirical social reality, offering researchers practical strategies and ethical guidelines to navigate complex scientific, financial, and security challenges during qualitative fieldwork.

Objectives of the Study:

- Examine the epistemological and methodological foundations of qualitative field research.
- Highlight the historical and scientific necessity of direct fieldwork in the social sciences.
- Identify and categorize the primary scientific, financial, and security challenges faced by researchers.
- Explore core methodological techniques (observation, participant observation, and interviewing).
- Propose effective practical mechanisms to overcome field obstacles and ensure data credibility.

Research Problem:

While fieldwork is essential for producing authentic, context-sensitive knowledge, it is fraught with numerous obstacles that can hinder data collection and compromise research outcomes. Accordingly, the central problematic is framed around the following question:

What are the main problems and difficulties that researchers face during field study, and what methodological and practical means can address and overcome them?

Research Sub-Questions:

- What are the conceptual definitions of field study, qualitative research, and fieldwork difficulties?

- Why is direct field research essential for documenting social and human culture?
- What scientific and methodological preparations must a researcher fulfill prior to fieldwork?
- What are the nature and implications of scientific, financial, and security challenges?
- How do observation, participant observation, and interviewing contribute to data collection?
- **What strategic mechanisms are available to mitigate fieldwork obstacles?**

Research Hypotheses:

- **First Hypothesis:** Fieldwork difficulties are deeply rooted in inadequate theoretical preparation and methodological gaps regarding the target community.
- **Second Hypothesis:** The success of qualitative field research depends on balancing immersive cultural engagement with professional detachment while flexibly adapting data collection techniques.

Methodology:

The study adopts a descriptive-analytical approach to systematically examine the theoretical and methodological dimensions of field research, analyze fieldwork difficulties, and synthesize strategic solutions in light of established scientific frameworks.

Structure and Division of the Study:

The study is structured into seven sections: **1. Definitions of Concepts, 2. The Importance of Field Research, 3. Requirements and Procedures, 4. Difficulties or Challenges, 5. Methodological Techniques, 6. Mechanisms and Strategies.**

1. Definitions of Concepts

Before delving into the analysis of field research and its various dimensions, it is essential to establish a precise conceptual framework that defines the core terms and removes any methodological ambiguity surrounding them.

1.1 Field Study

Field study means leaving the office to go to the research site itself — visiting families, schools, communities, or institutions and interviewing the people there. It may involve a long stay, but is limited to a specific geographic area. This applies to any social research that needs fieldwork to collect its data. Field study is grounded in the idea of direct contact with the reality under study, and represents a form of organized scientific observation in the broad sense of the term¹.

Field study refers to “the researcher’s continuous presence in the research field within the studied community, which may last for several years... During his stay in the research community, the researcher observes the behavior of community members and integrates into the community to the extent that lets him understand, as much as possible, how they speak, think, feel, and act as members of that community’s culture”².

¹ - Qandil, Amani (1992). *Designing Field Research*. Cairo: Center for Political Studies and Research, Cairo University, p. 92

² - Wissam, Al-Othman (2002). *An Introduction to Anthropology*. Damascus: Al-Ahali Press for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution, 1st ed, p. 108

1.2 Qualitative Research

Qualitative research — sometimes called the “qualitative methodology” or the “content approach” — is a set of steps that let the researcher accurately and deeply understand the meanings given by the study sample, and so understand their behaviors and opinions. On this basis, the qualitative approach can be defined as “a study that relies on a set of qualitative procedures, aiming to reach conclusions that contribute to a deep understanding of phenomena”³.

1.3 Difficulties

Field research difficulties can be defined as the methodological, social, cultural, material, and ethical obstacles that the researcher faces while collecting data in the field. These obstacles may relate to the nature of the study community, the respondents, the research topic, or the data collection tools. Such difficulties can affect the progress and results of the study, and require the researcher to prepare methodologically in advance, remain flexible, and adapt to the specific conditions of the field — all while upholding objectivity and the ethics of scientific research.

2. The Importance of Field Research in Documenting Social and Human Culture

Social researchers generally recognize the value of field research and what it offers both the researcher and the study itself: rich thematic material and vivid, firsthand information. As a result, they have strongly encouraged and supported the practice of collecting and recording field data as raw material for research.

Since the early stages of these disciplines, anthropologists and sociologists have stressed that field research is essential for collecting and documenting social and human culture from its original sources. This includes listening to storytellers, creators, artists, and artisans, and preserving the testimony of those who keep and practice customs, traditions, rituals, and folk beliefs — especially cultural practices now threatened with extinction because of rapid social change. This has created an urgent need to speed up the collection, documentation, and study of this cultural material, to help preserve it and protect it from being lost.

Along with stressing the need to go out into the field, anthropologists and sociologists have criticized researchers who move away from direct fieldwork and limit themselves to studying cultural and social phenomena from their offices, relying only on documents and written sources. They argue that relying solely on such sources prevents researchers from understanding a culture in its true context — particularly when the original information was recorded by outsiders, such as tourists, administrators, soldiers, or clergy who lived in the region for professional, military, or missionary reasons.

As a result, direct engagement in the field — listening to community members and observing and documenting their practices as they happen — has become one of the fundamental principles of research in the social and anthropological sciences, since it provides

³ - Wahid, Duwaydi Raja (2000). *Scientific Research: Theoretical Foundations and Scientific Practice*, 1st ed. Damascus: Dar al-Fikr, p. 23

vivid, firsthand data. This data helps researchers understand social and cultural phenomena in their natural context, and allows them to build knowledge that is more accurate, objective, and relevant to the reality being studied.

Such works often recorded facts that their authors simply observed and admired, or information documented at the request of colonial governments for political purposes. Some scholars studying culture have relied on these documents as sources of cultural material, even though the material they contain may be accurate or inaccurate. These documents also lack a scientific and methodological foundation, for two reasons: first, their authors were not researchers, and second, they did not record their information for scientific or objective purposes. Such cultural records can be classified in two ways. Some are literary and intellectual works with a colonial orientation, produced at the request of colonial governments seeking to understand the mindset of the peoples they had colonized. Others are oriented toward cultural, psychological, or entertainment purposes, with authors seeking to highlight elements of wonder and strangeness, and presenting this cultural heritage as material for tourist and museum entertainment. With this in mind, it is useful to look at how professional researchers have classified field researchers in the social and anthropological sciences more generally.

Social and anthropological field researchers have been classified into two main types:

- **The first type:** The researcher who is a colonial official, a legal scholar, a businessman, or a religious missionary. These individuals generally had no training in systematic observation, and often lacked the social skills needed to carry out genuine, comprehensive scientific work. Even so, their diaries and reports contain a wealth of facts from which a skilled scholar can extract a great deal of information⁴.
- **The second type:** Professional social researchers who have strong cognitive and methodological skills. Even so, whatever material these individuals record cannot be relied on completely and definitively for a study. It may serve as preliminary material or an initial reference point, but it remains incomplete and not fully grounded in scientific method, given how it was collected and documented.

This category of researchers in the social and human sciences can be distinguished by three characteristics:

- They wrote most of their research away from the field.
- They relied on non-scientific sources.
- Some of them believed, mistakenly, that they were doing fieldwork, yet they “did not spend time among the people they were studying, and such stays rarely lasted more than a few days. Such stays might, when necessary, serve as a useful starting point for in-depth

⁴ - Ghanem, Abdullah Abdul Ghani (2004). *Methods of Anthropological Research*. Alexandria: Al-Maktab al-Jami'i al-Hadith, 1st ed, p. 73.

classification studies, but they are by no means sufficient to build a deep understanding of the social life of a particular community”⁵.

It is worth noting that much of the success of research on social and human culture stems from the value of the material the researcher collects and documents directly in the field. This is raw, fresh, and rich material, recorded from its original and natural sources by people with whom the researcher has built strong ties, and whose culture the researcher has experienced and observed from the inside — coming to know the human, cultural, social, customary, economic, and psychological frameworks that shape this culture in its material, immaterial, and behavioral forms.

The researcher’s presence in the social, cultural, and geographical settings where the social and cultural phenomena under study emerged — despite the fact that this presence “sometimes seems anomalous and strange” — remains a means of gathering information about human societies in their various forms. The researcher lives within the research community among people who are strangers to him, in a social environment shaped by behavior that may seem unusual to him. This requires the researcher to accept that environment in order to understand the culture of these societies, or at least to engage directly with their way of life, so that he can identify the subtle details that shape their patterns of living⁶.

3. Requirements and Procedures for Conducting Field Research

Field research in the social sciences requires the researcher to meet a set of scientific and methodological conditions, both before and during fieldwork, in order to collect accurate and reliable data that serve the research objectives. The success of field research does not depend only on collecting information; it also depends on proper planning and preparation, choosing the right methodology and tools, and the ability to interact with the field and with respondents according to scientific and ethical standards.

Field study begins with clearly defining the research topic, problem, and objectives, followed by identifying the target community and selecting a sample suited to the nature of the study. The researcher should also review previous studies and the relevant literature, since these provide the theoretical background needed to define concepts and variables, and to build a clear understanding of the phenomenon under study.

Next, the researcher chooses a methodology suited to the nature of the study — whether qualitative, quantitative, or a mixed approach combining both — and identifies suitable data collection tools, such as observation, interviews, questionnaires, and document analysis. These tools must be chosen in line with the research questions and objectives, so that the researcher can obtain the data needed to address the problematic of the phenomenon under study.

Field research also requires preparing a clear field plan (see Angers) that includes determining the study location and timeframe, how to access research respondents, how to

⁵ - Epstein, Berthold, translated by Hassan Qabsi (1986). *Sociological Anthropology: An Introduction to Sociological Anthropology*. Beirut: Dar al-Hadatha for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution, p. 92

⁶ - Amri, Mohamed Hassan. *The Method of Anthropological Fieldwork*. Alexandria: Al-Maktab al-Jami'i al-Hadith, p. 30

conduct interviews or observations, and the mechanisms for recording and organizing information. It is advisable to test the research instruments in advance to make sure they are clear and suitable for the field, and to make any necessary adjustments before starting the final data collection process.

Another essential requirement is attention to the ethical side of scientific research. This means respecting respondents and obtaining their consent, keeping personal information and data confidential, and using the information they provide only for the stated scientific purposes. The researcher must also maintain objectivity and neutrality, and avoid influencing respondents' answers or steering them toward particular conclusions.

During fieldwork, the researcher needs flexibility, patience, and the ability to adapt to changing circumstances, since he may face difficulties gaining access to the field, refusals from some individuals to participate, trouble obtaining information, or gaps between actual conditions and the assumptions made before the study began. The field researcher must therefore be ready to handle these challenges without compromising scientific methodology.

In the final stage, the researcher organizes, classifies, analyzes, and interprets the collected data in light of the study's problematic, objectives, and emerging questions. Field research is therefore a connected series of steps: it begins with planning and preparation, continues with entering the field and collecting data, and concludes with analyzing the data and drawing out findings — allowing the construction of scientific knowledge based on realistic and reliable data.

4. Difficulties or Challenges Faced in Field Research

Entering the field should never be assumed to be easy or simple for the researcher. It is, rather, a difficult, demanding, and complex undertaking, often not without danger. As a biological, intellectual, and social being, the researcher may face many difficulties, including the following:

4.1 Scientific Difficulties

A field researcher may sometimes find that he cannot engage his subject from a scientific perspective, because of challenges and complexities he had not considered before entering the field. This forces him to pause and strengthen his scientific foundation, so that he can address his subject fully and scientifically. In our view, field research must be preceded by developing the theoretical and epistemological foundation that the researcher relies on and is guided by. This theoretical foundation supports the researcher in defining his subject, determining his field of study, and preparing the conceptual groundwork from which he starts. The researcher cannot make progress in field research “except by building a body of theories and hypotheses that address all aspects of culture or social life, and which are verified and tested through in-depth field research”⁷.

⁷ - Ibrahim, Fatiha Muhammad, and Mustafa Hamdi Al-Shinwani (1988). *An Introduction to Research Methods in Anthropology*. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: Dar Al-Mars Publishing House, p. 226

A solid theoretical and scientific foundation is essential for anthropological, social, and cultural researchers doing field research, since it is impossible to carry out fieldwork without prior scientific knowledge of the subject, the methodology for approaching it, and how to make scientific and objective use of the field, the region, and the respondents under study.

A researcher deeply engaged with the field differs from the ordinary tourist who may visit the same region and stay there. The tourist may simply observe or be fascinated by a social, cultural, or behavioral phenomenon. The researcher's approach to the same phenomenon is very different, because of the purpose of his fieldwork and his scientific training and academic specialization. Because of his awareness, commitment, and scientific responsibility, he must approach the phenomenon scientifically — through inquiry, discussion, and analysis within objective scientific frameworks that go beyond simple tourist admiration or romantic discovery. The researcher observes, records, documents, describes, questions, analyzes, and classifies. He is therefore required “to prepare his study thoroughly and consider in advance every step and possibility, in light of a sound scientific approach based on an understanding of the principles of scientific research and familiarity with similar studies already conducted in the same field”⁸.

In our view, going into the field is a scientific adventure and an act of scientific courage. The researcher must recognize that entering the field is scientific work within a broader scientific framework that other scholars have already contributed to. “Interconnectedness and accumulation are inherent in any science, and every researcher who approaches his fieldwork equipped with sufficient background knowledge of this heritage feels that he is not starting from scratch”⁹.

Conducting field research can also involve difficulties related to the customs, traditions, and social norms of the society under study, especially when the researcher relies on a self-interpretive approach. For example, a researcher studying the role of women in certain traditional societies may face difficulties where local customs restrict women's interaction or conversation with unfamiliar men. In such cases, the researcher must be highly sensitive and flexible.

4.2 Financial Difficulties

Financial considerations play an important role in whether field research succeeds or fails, since the researcher must have enough funds to cover its costs — which requires funding sources, especially when the research takes place in communities outside the researcher's home region. Some institutions interested in field research provide funding, and assign experienced personnel to assess the importance and likely benefit of the research¹⁰.

4.3 Security Challenges

⁸ - Ibrahim, Fatiha Muhammad, op. cit., p. 158.

⁹ - Ibrahim, Fatiha Muhammad, op. cit., p. 103.

¹⁰ - Amri, Mohamed Hassan op. cit., pp. 38, 39

The researcher may face serious security problems that prevent him from continuing his research or staying in the field, if the local community rejects him and refuses to receive him. This can happen for several reasons: the researcher may be viewed with suspicion, taken for a spy, or seen as intending harm; the community may have had a bad experience with earlier researchers; or illegal activities may be taking place in the community, such as smuggling¹¹.

Indeed, research can only produce positive and useful results when it is carried out under safe, comfortable, and favorable security conditions — conditions that guarantee the physical and moral safety of both the researcher and the local respondents.

5. The Field Researcher's Methodological Techniques

Researchers dedicated to field research have organized its methods, rules, and techniques into a set of principles and guidelines that a prospective researcher follows when carrying out a field-based study. These techniques are observation, participant observation, and interviewing.

5.1 Observation

Social researchers consider observation one of the most important methods for research and gathering information. “It has been used by all populations — whether primitive or civilized, past or present — to gather information about the objects and situations around them”¹². What distinguishes observation as a dynamic, direct research method is its connection to people's actual behavior in real-life situations.

5.2 Participant Observation

Anthropologist Dr. Atef Wasfi defines participant observation as “simply the researcher's participation in the social and cultural activities of the inhabitants of the research area, and the researcher's personal, continuous presence among them throughout the research and data collection period”¹³.

As a research method, participant observation has played a significant role in advancing anthropological and social field research. However, researchers must remain mindful of the risk of losing professional detachment under the pressure of personal relationships developed in the field. “The researcher's role during observation must remain secondary, and his participation in activities does not mean becoming a community member”¹⁴.

5.3 Interview

The interview is one of the important methods a researcher can use to collect data. It is “a means of collecting data and information in which the researcher conducts directed conversations with members of the community under study”¹⁵.

Conducting an interview requires rigorous preparation, including:

¹¹ - Ibrahim, Fatiha Muhammad, op. cit., p. 172.

¹² - Wissam, Al-Othman, op. cit., p. 118

¹³ - Wasfi, Atef (1971). *Cultural Anthropology: A Field Study of the Lebanese Muslim Community in the American City of Dearborn*. Beirut: Dar al-Nahda al-Arabiya, p. 285

¹⁴ - Amri, Mohamed Hassan, op. cit., p. 44

¹⁵ - Mansour, Hala (1998). *Lectures on Anthropology*, 1st ed. Alexandria: University Library, p. 112

- Selecting trusted and knowledgeable respondents.
- Formulating clear, non-embarrassing questions that gradually progress from simple to complex.
- Choosing a convenient time and private location free from noise or discomfort.
- Respecting the respondent's psychological well-being and voluntary participation.

6. Mechanisms and Strategies for Overcoming Fieldwork Difficulties

Fieldwork difficulties are a natural part of the research process in the social sciences. Drawing on their experience, researchers emphasize several key strategies (see Keefe & Van Campenhoudt):

- **Thorough Preparation Before Going to the Field:** Reviewing literature, defining objectives, and conducting exploratory studies.
- **Building a Relationship Based on Trust:** Establishing rapport, assuring confidentiality, and interacting with humility.
- **Respecting Cultural and Social Sensitivities:** Honoring local customs and values without compromising scientific objectivity.
- **Demonstrating Flexibility in the Use of Research Tools:** Adapting methodologies or combining multiple tools (observation, interviews, document analysis) when faced with field obstacles.
- **Using Local Informants and Intermediaries:** Leveraging trusted community leaders for access while maintaining scientific independence.
- **Dealing with Respondents' Refusal or Reservations:** Respecting refusals without applying pressure and seeking alternative participants.
- **Continuous Documentation and Organization of Data:** Maintaining meticulous field notes and recordings to prevent data loss.
- **Maintaining Objectivity and Self-Awareness:** Acknowledging personal biases and critically separating observations from interpretations.
- **Demonstrating Patience and Adaptability:** Adjusting schedules and plans flexibly in response to unexpected circumstances.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, a successful field researcher is one who successfully balances the stringent requirements of scientific methodology with the fluid particularities of social and cultural reality, ensuring that fieldwork difficulties become catalysts for deeper understanding rather than insurmountable barriers.

Looking forward, as social structures and digital landscapes rapidly evolve, future field research in the social sciences will increasingly demand innovative methodological hybrids—combining traditional immersive ethnography with digital and virtual interactions. Ultimately, robust field research remains indispensable for producing valid, context-sensitive knowledge

while upholding the highest ethical standards of respect for human dignity and community privacy.

Findings:

1. Field study is an indispensable epistemological and methodological pillar in the social sciences, allowing researchers to capture social phenomena directly within their natural cultural contexts.
2. Researchers face diverse challenges during fieldwork, ranging from scientific and methodological hurdles to financial constraints and security risks.
3. The effectiveness of qualitative research heavily relies on the rigorous application and critical adaptation of core techniques such as observation, participant observation, and interviewing.
4. Successful fieldwork requires maintaining a delicate balance between deep cultural integration and professional scientific detachment to preserve data credibility.

Recommendations:

1. Researchers must invest heavily in pre-field planning, theoretical grounding, and exploratory studies to anticipate potential obstacles.
2. Strict adherence to ethical principles—including informed consent, confidentiality, and respect for respondents' privacy—must be maintained throughout the research process.
3. Field researchers should remain adaptable, utilizing multiple data sources and alternative tools when initial strategies encounter resistance or barriers.
4. Maintaining systematic, organized field notes and records is essential to prevent data loss and ensure robust qualitative analysis.

Research Perspectives and Horizons:

Looking forward, the evolving landscape of contemporary social sciences opens new horizons for examining how digital transformations and virtual environments intersect with traditional ethnographic fieldwork. Future research should explore the methodological adjustments required as digital spaces increasingly complement or substitute physical research sites, thereby reshaping the dynamics of researcher-participant interaction and data collection in a hyper-connected world.

8. References

1. Amri, Mohamed Hassan. *The Method of Anthropological Fieldwork*. Alexandria: Al-Maktab al-Jami'i al-Hadith.
2. Angers, Maurice. *Scientific Research Methodology in the Humanities: Practical Exercises*, translated by Bouzid Sahraoui et al. Algiers: Dar al-Qasbah Publishing House.
3. Epstein, Berthold, translated by Hassan Qabsi (1986). *Sociological Anthropology: An Introduction to Sociological Anthropology*. Beirut: Dar al-Hadatha for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution.
4. Ghanem, Abdullah Abdul Ghani (2004). *Methods of Anthropological Research*. Alexandria: Al-Maktab al-Jami'i al-Hadith, 1st ed.

5. Hassan, Abdul Basit (1966). *The Fundamentals of Social Research*. Cairo: Al-Bayan al-Arabi Committee Press.
6. Ibrahim, Fatiha Muhammad, and Mustafa Hamdi Al-Shinwani (1988). *An Introduction to Research Methods in Anthropology*. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: Dar Al-Mars Publishing House.
7. Keefe, Raymond, and Luke Van Campenhoudt. *The Social Scientist's Guide*, translated by Abdul Rahman Badawi. Algeria: Dar al-Qasbah Publishing.
8. Mansour, Hala (1998). *Lectures on Anthropology*, 1st ed. Alexandria: University Library.
9. Qandil, Amani (1992). *Designing Field Research*. Cairo: Center for Political Studies and Research, Cairo University.
10. Suweif, Mustafa (1970). *Introduction to Social Psychology*. Cairo: Al-Anglo Bookstore.
11. Wahid, Duwaydi Raja (2000). *Scientific Research: Theoretical Foundations and Scientific Practice*, 1st ed. Damascus: Dar al-Fikr.
12. Wasfi, Atef (1971). *Cultural Anthropology: A Field Study of the Lebanese Muslim Community in the American City of Dearborn*. Beirut: Dar al-Nahda al-Arabiya.
13. Wissam, Al-Othman (2002). *An Introduction to Anthropology*. Damascus: Al-Ahali Press for Printing, Publishing, and Distribution, 1st ed.